

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE**

NIKOLAI TANKOVICH, MD, PhD,

*Plaintiff,*

v.

CANDELA CORPORATION,

*Defendant.*

C.A. No. 1:22-cv-01458-RGA

**JURY TRIAL DEMANDED**

**JOINT CLAIM CONSTRUCTION CHART**

Pursuant to Paragraph 7 of the Court’s Scheduling Order (D.I. 21), Plaintiff Nikolai Tankovich, MD, PhD, and Defendant Candela Corporation provide this Joint Claim Construction Chart regarding U.S. Patent No. 10,675,481 (“the ’481 Patent”). The chart below sets forth the claim terms and phrases currently at issue, and each party’s proposed construction of the disputed claim language with citations to the intrinsic evidence in support. Attached for reference hereto is a copy of the ’481 Patent (Exhibit 1) and portions of the file history relied upon by Plaintiff and Defendant identified below (Exhibit 2).

The parties reserve the right to rely on additional intrinsic evidence to the extent it is necessary to provide additional context or to rebut arguments made by other parties during the claim construction briefing. The parties further reserve the right to rely on any intrinsic evidence identified by any other party. The parties also reserve their rights to rely on extrinsic evidence.

<b>U.S. Patent No. 10,675,481</b>			
#	Term or Phrase (Claim no.)	Plaintiff’s Proposed Construction and Intrinsic Evidence in Support	Defendant’s Proposed Construction and Intrinsic Evidence in Support
1	“[first pattern and ... second pattern] <b>combine</b> [to produce...beams]” (Claim 1)	<u>Proposed Construction:</u> Not indefinite; no construction required (plain and ordinary meaning). Additional claim language	<u>Proposed Construction:</u> Indefinite  The claim language is indefinite regardless of whether additional

U.S. Patent No. 10,675,481			
#	Term or Phrase (Claim no.)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic Evidence in Support	Defendant's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic Evidence in Support
		<p>should be included for context as shown in brackets.</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> '481 Patent: Abstract; Figs. 1, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, and 2F; 1:12–57; 1:61–2:19; 2:23–45; 2:55–3:16; 3:54–67; 4:28–5:9; 5:13–7:20; 8:14–43; 8:51–9:46; 9:49–54; 9:61–10:2; 10:8–13; claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, and 26;</p> <p>File History: Nov. 18, 2019 Office Action (NIT000092-102); Mar. 25, 2020 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action of 11/18/2019 (NIT000129-38); Mar. 27, 2020 Interview Summary (NIT000139-42).</p>	<p>claim language shown in brackets is included</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> FIGS. 1, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2E, 2F; Abstract; 1:12–57; 1:61–63; 1:67–2:19; 2:25–45; 3:59–62; 3:66–67; 4:28–53; 4:58–5:1; 5:5–9; 5:17–21; 5:32–6:19; 6:34–7:20; 8:14–43; 8:51–9:3; 9:28–42; 9:61–10:2 claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26; Original Claims; Nov. 18, 2019 Office Action; Mar. 25, 2020 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action; Mar. 27, 2020 Interview Summary.</p>
2	<b>“first laser scanner”</b> (Claim 1)	<p><u>Proposed Construction:</u> No construction required (plain and ordinary meaning).</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> Figs. 1, 2A, 2B, 2C; 2:23–33; 2:55–3:1; 4:50–5:54; 5:62–6:3; 6:34–38; 6:60–64; 9:49–54; 10:23–34; claim 1.</p>	<p><u>Proposed Construction:</u> A laser scanner, distinct from the second laser scanner</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> FIG. 1; 4:50–5:54; 5:62–6:3; 6:34–38; 6:60–63; claim 1.</p>
3	<b>“[distribute a beam in a] first pattern”</b> (Claim 1)	<p><u>Proposed Construction:</u> Not indefinite; no construction required (plain and ordinary meaning). Additional claim language should be included for context as shown in brackets.</p>	<p><u>Proposed Construction:</u> Indefinite</p> <p>The claim language is indefinite regardless of whether additional claim language shown in brackets is included</p>

U.S. Patent No. 10,675,481			
#	Term or Phrase (Claim no.)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic Evidence in Support	Defendant's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic Evidence in Support
		<p><u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> '481 Patent Abstract; 2:23–45; 2:55–3:16; 3:20–50; 4:50–6:3; 6:34–38; 8:14–24; 8:51–61; 9:28–63; claims 1 and 11; Figs. 1, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, and 2F;</p> <p>File History: Nov. 18, 2019 Office Action (NIT000092-102); Mar. 25, 2020 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action of 11/18/2019 (NIT000129-38); Mar. 27, 2020 Interview Summary (NIT000139-42).</p>	<p><u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> FIGS. 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, 2F; Abstract; 4:50–5:12; 5:28–6:3; 6:34–38; 6:60–63; 8:14–24; 8:51–61; 9:28–63; claims 1, 11; Nov. 18, 2019 Office Action; Mar. 25, 2020 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action; Mar. 27, 2020 Interview Summary.</p>
4	<b>“non-overlapping beams”</b> (Claim 1)	<p><u>Proposed Construction:</u> Not indefinite; no construction required (plain and ordinary meaning).</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> '481 Patent: Abstract; Figs. 1, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, and 2F; 1:12–57; 1:61–2:19; 2:23–45; 2:55–3:16; 3:54–67; 4:28–5:9; 5:13–7:20; 8:14–43; 8:51–9:46; 9:49–54; 9:61–10:2; 10:8–13; claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, and 26;</p> <p>File History: Nov. 18, 2019 Office Action (NIT000092-102); Mar. 25, 2020 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action of 11/18/2019 (NIT000129-38); Mar. 27, 2020 Interview Summary (NIT000139-42).</p>	<p><u>Proposed Construction:</u> Indefinite</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> FIGS. 1, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2E, 2F; Abstract; 1:12–57; 1:61–63; 1:67–2:19; 2:25–45; 3:59–62; 3:66–67; 4:28–53; 4:58–5:1; 5:5–9; 5:17–21; 5:32–6:19; 6:34–7:20; 8:14–43; 8:51–9:3; 9:28–42; 9:61–10:2 claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26; Original Claims; Nov. 18, 2019 Office Action; Mar. 25, 2020 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action; Mar. 27, 2020 Interview Summary.</p>

U.S. Patent No. 10,675,481			
#	Term or Phrase (Claim no.)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic Evidence in Support	Defendant's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic Evidence in Support
5	<b>“overlapping beams and non- overlapping beams”</b> (Claim 1)	<p><u>Proposed Construction:</u> Not indefinite; no construction required (plain and ordinary meaning).</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> '481 Patent: Abstract; Figs. 1, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, and 2F; 1:12–57; 1:61–2:19; 2:23–45; 2:55–3:16; 3:54–67; 4:28–5:9; 5:13–7:20; 8:14–43; 8:51–9:46; 9:49–54; 9:61–10:2; 10:8–13; claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, and 26;</p> <p>File History: Nov. 18, 2019 Office Action (NIT000092-102); Mar. 25, 2020 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action of 11/18/2019 (NIT000129-38); Mar. 27, 2020 Interview Summary (NIT000139-42).</p>	<p><u>Proposed Construction:</u> Indefinite</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> FIGS. 1, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2E, 2F; Abstract; 1:12–57; 1:61–63; 1:67–2:19; 2:25–45; 3:59–62; 3:66–67; 4:28–53; 4:58–5:1; 5:5–9; 5:17–21; 5:32–6:19; 6:34–7:20; 8:14–43; 8:51–9:3; 9:28–42; 9:61–10:2 claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26; Original Claims; Nov. 18, 2019 Office Action; Mar. 25, 2020 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action; Mar. 27, 2020 Interview Summary.</p>
6	<b>“overlapping beams”</b> (Claim 1)	<p><u>Proposed Construction:</u> Not indefinite; no construction required (plain and ordinary meaning).</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> '481 Patent: Abstract; Figs. 1, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, and 2F; 1:12–57; 1:61–2:19; 2:23–45; 2:55–3:16; 3:54–67; 4:28–5:9; 5:13–7:20; 8:14–43; 8:51–9:46; 9:49–54; 9:61–10:2; 10:8–13; claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, and 26;</p> <p>File History: Nov. 18, 2019 Office Action (NIT000092-</p>	<p><u>Proposed Construction:</u> Indefinite.</p> <p><u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> FIGS. 1, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2E, 2F; Abstract; 1:12–57; 1:61–63; 1:67–2:19; 2:25–45; 3:59–62; 3:66–67; 4:28–53; 4:58–5:1; 5:5–9; 5:17–21; 5:32–6:19; 6:34–7:20; 8:14–43; 8:51–9:3; 9:28–42; 9:61–10:2 claims 1, 2, 3, 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 13, 15, 16, 17, 18, 20, 21, 22, 23, 25, 26; Original Claims; Nov. 18, 2019 Office Action; Mar. 25, 2020 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action; Mar. 27, 2020 Interview Summary.</p>

U.S. Patent No. 10,675,481			
#	Term or Phrase (Claim no.)	Plaintiff's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic Evidence in Support	Defendant's Proposed Construction and Intrinsic Evidence in Support
		102); Mar. 25, 2020 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action of 11/18/2019 (NIT000129-38); Mar. 27, 2020 Interview Summary (NIT000139-42).	
7	<b>“second laser scanner”</b> (Claim 1)	<u>Proposed Construction:</u> No construction required (plain and ordinary meaning).  <u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> Figs. 1, 2A, 2B, 2C; 2:23–33; 2:55–3:1; 4:50–5:54; 5:62–6:3; 6:34–38; 6:60–64; 9:49–54; 10:23–34; claim 1.	<u>Proposed Construction:</u> A laser scanner, distinct from the first laser scanner  <u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> FIG. 1; 4:50–5:54; 5:62–6:3; 6:34–38; 6:60–63; claim 1.
8	<b>“[distribute a beam in a] second pattern”</b> (Claim 1)	<u>Proposed Construction:</u> Not indefinite; no construction required (plain and ordinary meaning). Additional claim language should be included for context as shown in brackets.  <u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> '481 Patent Abstract; 2:23–45; 2:55–3:16; 3:20–50; 4:50–6:3; 6:34–38; 8:51–61; 9:28–63; claims 1 and 11; Figs. 1, 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, and 2F;  File History: Nov. 18, 2019 Office Action (NIT000092- 102); Mar. 25, 2020 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action of 11/18/2019 (NIT000129-38); Mar. 27, 2020 Interview Summary (NIT000139-42).	<u>Proposed Construction:</u> Indefinite  The claim language is indefinite regardless of whether additional claim language shown in brackets is included  <u>Intrinsic Evidence:</u> FIGS. 2A, 2B, 2C, 2D, 2E, 2F; Abstract; 4:50–5:12; 5:28–6:3; 6:34–38; 6:60–63; 8:14–24; 8:51– 61; 9:28–63; claims 1, 11; Nov. 18, 2019 Office Action; Mar. 25, 2020 Amendment and Response to Non-Final Office Action; Mar. 27, 2020 Interview Summary.

Dated: June 6, 2023

MORGAN, LEWIS & BOCKIUS LLP

/s/ Amy M. Dudash

Amy M. Dudash (#5741)  
1201 North Market Street, Suite 2201  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
Tel: 302.574.3000  
Fax: 302.574.3001  
amy.dudash@morganlewis.com

Jason C. White (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
Eugene S. Hwangbo (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
110 North Wacker Drive, Suite 2800  
Chicago, IL 60606  
Tel: 312.324.1000  
Fax: 312.324.1001  
jason.white@morganlewis.com  
eugene.hwangbo@morganlewis.com

Olga Berson, Ph.D. (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
2049 Century Park East, Suite 700  
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3109  
Tel: 310.907.1000  
Fax: 310.324.1001  
olga.berson@morganlewis.com

Melissa Story (admitted *pro hac vice*)  
1000 Louisiana Street, Suite 4000  
Houston, Texas 77002  
Tel: 713.890.5000  
Fax: 713.890.5001  
melissa.story@morganlewis.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff*

Respectfully Submitted,

POLSINELLI PC

/s/ Stephen J. Kraftschik

Stephen J. Kraftschik (#5623)  
222 Delaware Avenue, Suite 1101  
Wilmington, DE 19801  
(302) 252-0920  
skraftschik@polsinelli.com

Mark T. Deming  
150 N. Riverside Plaza, Suite 3000  
Chicago, IL 60606  
Telephone: (312) 819-1900  
mdeming@polsinelli.com

Alison N. Krepel  
100 S. Fourth Street, Suite 1000  
St. Louis, MO 63102  
Telephone: (314) 889-8000  
akrepel@polsinelli.com

*Attorneys for Defendant*